1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NORTHERN	TATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RANCISCO DIVISION	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. CR 15-00003 VC	
12	Plaintiff,	) ) CTIBLE ATION AND IDDODOCEDI	
13	v.	) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ) ORDER TO CONTINUE MOTION ) HEARING AND FOR EXCLUDING ) TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161	
14	CHRIS CARIGNAN,		
15	Defendant.		
16		)	
17	The parties in this case stipulate to Defendant's request for additional time to file his		
18	motion to suppress evidence. The purpose of the request is to allow the defendant and his		
19	counsel time to communicate about the final motion and accompanying declarations. The		
20	government has no opposition to the defendant's request for additional time, and does not request		
21	additional time to file the response at this juncture. Accordingly, defendant's request would not		
22	alter the existing briefing schedule or hearing date.		
23	Accordingly, were the Court to grant the request, the briefing schedule would be altered		
24	as to the opening motion only:		
25	Motion Due: June 11, 2	015	
26	Response Due: July 7, 202	15 (UNCHANGED)	
27	Reply Due: July 14, 20	015 (UNCHANGED)	
28	Motion Hearing: July 21, 20	015 at 1:00 PM (UNCHANGED)	

STIP. & [PROP.] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 15-00003 VC

## Case 3:15-cr-00003-VC Document 23 Filed 06/09/15 Page 2 of 3

The parties concur that granting the exclusion of time between June 9, 2015 and June 11, 2015 would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time up until the motion filing date for the purposes of effective preparation of counsel and the defense outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The parties further agree that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(D), time will automatically be excluded from June 11 through July 21, 2015 because the motion to suppress will be pending before the Court. Accordingly, due to the reasons stated above, the parties jointly move to continue the date that the opening brief is due on Defendant's Motion to Suppress from June 9, 2015 to June 11, 2015 and that the briefing schedule be ordered by the Court as stipulated above. The parties

further agree that it is appropriate that time be excluded from June 9, 2015 to June 11, 2015 to allow for the effective preparation of counsel.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

20

21

25

26

27

28

15 MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney 16

DATED: June 9, 2015 /s/ Sheila Armbrust

SHEILA ARMBRUST

Assistant United States Attorney 19

DATED: June 9, 2015 /s/ Elizabeth Falk

ELIZABETH FALK

22 Assistant Federal Public Defender Attorney for Defendant Chris Carignan

23 24 

## PROPOSED | ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court hereby CONTINUES the due date for the opening motion from June 9, 2015, to June 11, 2015. All other due dates for the responsive briefing, as well as the motion hearing date of July 21, 2015, shall remain unchanged. The Court further finds that the exclusion from the time limits of this period applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 is warranted from June 9, 2015, to June 11, 2015, and holds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The Court further finds that denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the defendant effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). Between June 11 and July 21, 2015, this Court concurs that time is automatically excluded to allow the Court to review and rule on a pending motion. *See* 18 USC § 3161(h)(1)(D).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 9, 2015

VINCE CHHABRIA

United States District Judge